

To: [redacted] [redacted]@rivm.nl; [redacted] [redacted]@rivm.nl; [redacted] [redacted]@rivm.nl
From: [redacted]
Sent: Thur 3/4/2021 10:17:32 AM
Subject: Re: FW: VE after one dose
Received: Thur 3/4/2021 10:17:33 AM
[Screenshot 2021-03-04 at 10.47.02.png](#)

Hi [redacted]

Unfortunately, I'm unable to answer your question about why the older age groups aren't covered with pfizer to a greater extent. These calculations are purely based on the distribution schedules that I receive, and I do not change them before putting them into the model. I'm also unsure why the number of pfizer doses differs by scenario, that again is a feature of the distribution schedule (see attached image).

Sorry I can't be of more help.

Best,

[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: Thursday, 4 March 2021 09:58:42
To: [redacted]; [redacted]; [redacted]
Subject: RE: FW: VE after one dose

Hi [redacted]

I now had a chance to look at this in more detail, thanks for your additional work.

[redacted] asked this morning to the distribution centre [redacted] about planned arrivals of Pfizer: [redacted] per week this month, [redacted] per week from April onwards. For the 4 month period March-June, this adds up to nearly 7 million doses Pfizer.

In your excel, for Pfizer, if I add up 1st and 2nd doses, I get to between 6 and 8.8 million doses Pfizer – 7 million falls in this range, but I do not understand why the total number of doses differs by scenario?

Then I had a question about the figures. In scenario B, I would have thought by July all older age groups would have been covered with Pfizer (so e.g. all 2 million people aged 60-69) – but in the figure it is only about [redacted] or so, whereas a lot of younger people also have been allocated vaccine. How can this be if you assume old to young as an implementation strategy?

With best wishes,

[redacted]

